

NPSO discussion meeting Adaptive Survey Designs

Panel discussion:

Koen Beullens (KU Leuven), Jelke Bethlehem (CBS), Jannes Hartkamp (DESAN), Robbert Zandvliet (TNS-NIPO), Melania Calinescu (VU A`dam), Carl-Erik Särndal (SSB, Sweden)



DISCUSSION POINTS

General	<p>Is it for government organizations with a lot of different users still justified not to use ASD?</p> <p>Why has the use of ASD-like designs been limited up to now, is this for practical (e.g. lack of experience, knowledge, metadata) or methodological (e.g. concerns about comparability with previous flows or mode effects) reasons?</p> <p>How will customers/stakeholders/users react to ASD?</p>
Implementation	<p>Given that ASD requires a lot of effort and costs in implementation, how can we analyze whether they are successful?</p> <p>How can we implement ASD without increasing costs significantly?</p>
Quality	<p>What means do we have to increase participation rates of difficult groups?</p> <p>What might be the effect on data quality when using customized approaches for respondent subgroups?</p> <p>ASD is focused on nonresponse error. How can we account for other errors, most notably measurement errors?</p> <p>To what extent is the survey organization in control, ie will the respondent follow the design choices made for him/her?</p>